



District Vision:	BLT Team Member	<u>s:</u>		
"All Students will graduate college, career and life ready."	Susan Kondrat	Colleen Gjataj	Sarah Pappas	
District Beliefs:	Teresa Soria Phillips	Emily McDonough	Anna Robins	
ALL students must learn and grow	PLC Leadership Te	am Members:		
 We accept shared responsibility for student growth We make decisions based on what is best for 	Robin Buzinski	Brittany Christin	Katie Delaney	
students	Shannon Ford	Julie McGovern		
We are a future-focused community of learners Learning and Teaching Priorities:	"All students leav ready for the challe			
 Help create shared understanding and measurement of district vision, strategies, and priorities 	Madison Agreemer 1. We will supp	ort each other whe	n things are hard.	
 Develop curriculum resources and assessments in key content areas with new standards or programs that reflect rigor and relevance 	learn and grow with students.3. We will make decisions based on what is best for kids.4. We will keep a growth mindset in our work and			
 Support instructional strategies aligned to rigor & relevance and the 6C's 		of kids. responsible for co o together and with	•	it the

Peer Review 1 Date:	Peer Review 2 Date:
Presentation To Staff Date:	Presentation to PTA Date:
Update to Staff Date:	Update to PTA Date:





SIP Team Meeting Dates			
June 3, 2019	December 4, 2019		
June 17, 2019	January 8, 2020 (lunch meeting)		
July 1, 2019	January 29, 2020		
August 8, 2019 (BLT/PLC Leadership teams)	February 26, 2020 (BLT/PLC Leadership teams)		
August 21, 2019	March 11, 2020 (lunch meeting)		
September 17, 2019 (BLT/PLC Leadership teams)	April 1, 2020		
October 16, 2019	April 29, 2020 (BLT/PLC Leadership teams)		
November 13, 2019	May 20, 2020		



Our goal is to ensure that all students develop their content knowledge and build their future ready skills of collaboration, communication, creativity, critical thinking, character and citizenship.



Priority Area: Social Emotional Development and Inquiry Based Learning

Data Analysis to indicate that there is a problem to address for Goal 1:

Implementation of DRDP in the 2018-19 school year allowed us to see where Madison kindergarten eligible student skills are in comparison to kindergarten readiness as identified through KIDS. Data review shows the following DRDP results, from Trimester 2, related to social-emotional and future ready learning skills.

Social Emotional:

- ATL-REG 5: Self-Control of Feelings and Behavior 55% BM or higher (37% for IEPs, 42% for ELLs, 47% for Free/Reduced), 84% BE or higher
- ATL-REG 6: Engagement and Persistence 69% BM or higher, 93% BE or higher
- HSS 4: Conflict Negotiation 51% BM or higher (34% for IEPs, 42% for ELLS, 40% for Free/Reduced), 89% BE or higher
- HSS 5: Responsible Conduct as a Group Member 76% BM or higher, 91% BE or higher

Future ready:

- ATL-REG 4 : Curiosity and Initiative in Learning 59% BM or higher (49% for IEPs, 47% for ELLs, 47% for Free/Reduced), 92% BE or higher
- COG 8: Cause and Effect 68% BM or higher, 94% BE or higher
- COG 9: Inquiry through observation and Investigation 56% BM or higher (39% for IEPs, 47% for ELLS, 36% for Free/Reduced), 86% BE or higher

The data shows that in ATL-REG 4, ATL-REG 5, HSS 4 and COG 9, less than 65% of overall kindergarten eligible students meet the readiness standard (BM). In these same measures, subgroup data shows that less than 49% of students with IEPs, less than 47% of ELLs, and less than 47% of low-income students meet the readiness standard



Our goal is to ensure that all students develop their content knowledge and build their future ready skills of collaboration, communication, creativity, critical thinking, character and citizenship.



(BM).

This shows we need to further students' self-control of feelings and conflict negotiation skills, which would allow students more meaningful engagement in the classroom, specifically as it relates to inquiry based learning. Furthermore, data shows that we are not closing the achievement gap as it relates to fostering these skills with the identified subgroups. Our classroom instruction is not meeting the needs of these students and they are not growing at an appropriate rate of progress. Instructional Coaching survey results demonstrate that 53.3% of staff would like future professional learning around social-emotional learning, 68.8% would like to learn more about the Project Approach, and 46.7% wanted more learning around student engagement.

Theory of Action:

To adequately impact growth in these areas, teachers need to implement strategies to support social-emotional learning and support inquiry based learning in the classroom environment. This will lead to students becoming active participants who can collaboratively solve problems.

If the Principal:

- Collaborates with BLT and PLC leadership team focused on assessment of social emotional learning
- Works with the Instructional Coach to plan professional learning on using an inquiry based learning approach within the classroom and supporting student social-emotional development
- Determines schedule and provides support that allows for monthly data team review
- Observes classroom formally and informally for evidence of inquiry based learning and social-emotional development
- Communicates and participates within PLC's to ensure they are focused on the right work

Then the Teachers Will:

- Effectively use classroom data to guide classroom instruction to improve social-emotional and inquiry based learning
- Collaborate within PLC groups to effectively implement strategies to support social-emotional learning and inquiry based learning



Our goal is to ensure that all students develop their content knowledge and build their future ready skills of collaboration, communication, creativity, critical thinking, character and citizenship.



- Provide support for students to develop strategies for regulating and communicating feelings and behavior, becoming less reliant on adult guidance over time
- Understands how planning for instruction impacts Creativity, Collaboration, Communication, Critical Thinking, Character and Citizenship through the design of an inquiry based project.

And Students Will:

- Develop and apply more control over social-emotional skills and conflict negotiation in order to work effectively with others.
- Have personalized learning experiences to gain the skills needed for future academic success.
- Develop a love for learning that leads to successful future life and learning experiences.

How will we know if it's working? What data will we use to support our claim?

- PLC and Data Review Minutes
- Instructional Coach Survey Results will show that professional learning has improved professional practice and student learning
- Classroom Observations/Learning Walks focused on elements of inquiry based learning
- Student Growth in DRDP Curiosity and Initiative in Learning (ATL-REG 4)
- Student Growth in DRDP Self-Control of Feelings and Behaviors (ATL-REG 5)
- Student Growth In DRDP Inquiry Through Observation and Investigation (COG 9)
- Student Growth in DRDP Conflict Negotiation (HSS 4)

SMART Goal for Goal 1:

By May 2020, classroom teams will create an environment where all kindergarten-eligible students including subgroups ELL, IEP, F/RL develop their social emotional learning skills in order to fully participate in inquiry based learning as evidenced by 65% of kindergarten-eligible students meeting the kindergarten readiness level (Building Middle) at



Our goal is to ensure that all students develop their content knowledge and build their future ready skills of collaboration, communication, creativity, critical thinking, character and citizenship.



Trimester 2 in the following DRDP assessment measures:

- ATL-REG 4 : Curiosity and Initiative in Learning
- ATL-REG 5: Self-Control of Feelings and Behavior
- COG 9: Inquiry through Observation and Investigation
- HSS 4: Conflict Negotiation

First Trimester Action Plan with Specific Measures of Progress:

Action Step	Person Responsible	Target Date	Evidence
Inter-rater reliability practices for each DRDP measure listed	Teachers		
Monthly Team Data Reviews	Instructional Coach, Principal, School Psychologist, and Classroom Teams	September 2019-May 2020	Agendas, Completed MTSS Forms for Tier 2 and Tier 3 Students
Learning Walks focused on elements of inquiry based learning	All Classroom Teachers & Instructional Coach		LW Survey Results Completion
Introducing Second Step Program weekly in selected pilot classrooms	Social Workers, School Psychologist	September 2019	Mental Health PLC Agendas
Calm Corner in all classrooms	SW, Classroom Teachers	September 2019	Classroom Observations





Project Approach training for certified staff during August Institute Days	Colleen, Sarah, Robin	Institute Day Late Arrivals	Agendas and Presentations Classroom Observations	
Learning Strands presented during August Late Arrival to allow staff to determine their focus for personalized progressional learning	BLT	Aug 28th	Institute Day Agenda and Presentation	
Oct 2019 Institute Day for initial Learning Strands Training	District	Oct	Agendas for Institute Day	
Second Trimester Action Plan with Specific Measures of Progress:				
Action Step	Person Responsible	Target Date	<u>Evidence</u>	
Third Trimester Action	n Plan with Specific Me	easures of Pro	ogress (February-May)	
Third Trimester Action Action Step	n Plan with Specific Me Person Responsible	easures of Pro	ogress (February-May) Evidence	
	<u>-</u>			
	<u>-</u>			



Our goal is to ensure that all students develop their content knowledge and build their future ready skills of collaboration, communication, creativity, critical thinking, character and citizenship.



Priority Area: Building Culture

Data Analysis to indicate that there is a problem to address for Goal 2 (Culture Goal).

PLC Continuum Survey Spring Culture Survey 18-19

Spring '19 Staff Culture Survey Results and PLC Continuum Survey Results:

- In the area of Focus/Goal on the PLC Continuum, groups averaged a score of 3.25 out of a possible 6 when fully accomplished
- Staff agree or strongly agree that they view student work to inform and plan instruction during PLCs = 61%; In the area of Data Collection/Analysis on the PLC Continuum, groups averaged a score of 3.25 out of a possible 6 when fully accomplished
- Staff agree or strongly agree that they take time to observe one another teaching = 55%; In the area of Deprivatization of Practice on the PLC Continuum, groups averaged a score of 3.5 out of a possible 6 when fully accomplished

Theory of Action:

If the staff at Madison ECEC utilize common plan time to engage in professional learning communities and if all PLC's focus on the four PLC questions and if all PLC's share agendas and notes to increase transparency and if student work is regularly analyzed and used to plan future instruction and if a culture is created and maintained that fosters respect, solutions, positivity and is student-centered, then collaboration among staff will increase and then the culture will more effectively support our students, and then student achievement will increase and be more consistent across teams.

If the Principal:

• Sets the vision for the work of PLC's as part of our professional practice and



Our goal is to ensure that all students develop their content knowledge and build their future ready skills of collaboration, communication, creativity, critical thinking, character and citizenship.



- Collaborates with BLT and PLC Leadership Teams to determine priorities and plan for PLC structures and
- Observes PLC's in action

• Communicates well with BLT, PLC Leaders and PLC's throughout the year about effectiveness

Then the Teachers Will:

- Solidify PLC roles and practice effective facilitation practices
- Analyze student work in order to determine appropriate instructional strategies

• Utilize protocols to elicit feedback from colleagues through learning walks and sharing of practice And Students will:

- Have personalized learning experiences to gain the skills needed for future academic success
- Develop and apply effective communication, and critical thinking skills while working with others
- Remain curious about the work and work hard while learning skills to make them ready for their future

How will we know if it's working? What data will we use to support our claim?

- Fall PLC/Culture Survey and PLC continuum ratings results for baseline data
- Winter PLC continuum ratings to look for growth
- Spring PLC/Culture Data Survey and PLC continuum ratings results to determine if culture and PLC effectiveness is increasing

SMART Goal for Goal 2 (Culture):

By May 2020, staff will improve focus during PLC groups, student data collection and analysis, and deprivatization of practice as evidenced by the results of ratings on the PLC Continuum and PLC/Culture Survey as indicated by the achievement of an average score of 4.5 out of a possible 6 when fully accomplished, and attainment of 80% or higher on staff climate survey results from fall to spring in the following ares: Staff agree or strongly agree that Staff regularly view student work to inform and plan instruction during PLCs, and Staff agree or strongly agree that they take time to observe one another teaching.

First Semester Action Plan with Specific Measures of Progress):						
Action Step	Action Step Person Responsible Target Date Evidence					





PLC Continuum survey and PLC group goal setting	PLC group members	Fall, Winter, Spring		
PLC/Culture Survey	All staff	Fall, Spring		
Train PLC team as facilitators	Colleen	August 8, 2019	PLC Leadership Agenda and Presentation	
PLC Observations/Recommendations from Cindy Harrison	Susan	September 17, 2019	Agenda and Recap Notes	
Implement monthly data reviews outside of PLC time	MTSS team	Starting Mid September	Schedule, MTSS Folder, Data results, Tier 2 & 3 Forms Completed as needed	
Learning Walks	Colleen and individual Teachers/SLPs	Fall, Winter, Spring rotations	October 23 Agenda Learning Walk Survey Results	
Collaborative PLC/BLT Ongoing PL on facilitation and data analysis	BLT/PLC team	August 8, ongoing	August 8, 2019, September 17, 2019 BLT/PLC Agendas	
Second Semester Action Plan with Specific Measures of Progress :				
Action Step	Person Responsible	Target Date	<u>Evidence</u>	





Third Semester Action Plan with Specific Measures of Progress:			
Action Step	Person Responsible	Target Date	<u>Evidence</u>