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## Agenda

- Review of KPIs
- Implications for Instruction


## Key Performance Indicators (KPls)

- Achievement HS (SAT Composite)
- Students on Track for College and Career Readiness - HS
- Achievement Gap
- Seniors with Post-Secondary Experience
- AP Participation Rate
- Graduation Rate
- $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade - Reading at Grade Level
- Achievement Elem/Middle (PARCC \& MAP)
- Students on Track for College and Career Readiness
- Achievement Gap
- ELL Achievement
- NWEA MAP Average Growth


## SAT Scores

Average total score for Grade 11 students

- Total score: 1162
- Math: 580
- Evidence-based reading and writing: 582


## SAT Total Score

## Distribution of SAT National Percentiles



## College and Career Readiness-HS

College Board Benchmarks for college and career readiness are 480 (EBR) and 530 (Math).

- $85 \%$ of students meet the EBR benchmark
- $68 \%$ of students meet the math benchmark

Previous district ACT benchmark was 23

- 56\% of students meet this benchmark using ACTSAT concordance information*


## Achievement Gap

## SAT Achievement Gap



## Post-Secondary Experience

The percent of seniors that have had at least one post-secondary experience in high school.

Examples:

- AP Courses
- ACP Courses
- Dual Credit Courses
- Courses with Industry Certification


## Post-Secondary Experience

Seniors with at Least One Post-Secondary Experience


## AP Participation

The percent of eligible students participating in at least one AP course.

2013-2014: 37.5\%
2014-2015: 41.6\%
2015-2016: 42.1\%
2016-2017: 35.4\%

## AP Participation

Six Year AP History


## Graduation Rate

The Four Year Cohort Graduation Rate as defined by ISBE.

2014-2015:<br>94.4\%<br>2015-2016:<br>94.1\%<br>2016-2017:<br>95.0\%

## PARCC Achievement



## Performance Level

Exceeded $\square$ Met
$\square$ Approached PartiallyMet
$\square$ DidNotMeet

## $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade - Reading on Grade Level

## Expectations



Based on Fountas \& Pinnell Fall 2017 Grade 4 students beginning of year
PARCC Grade 3 data from 2017 shows 54\% of $3^{\text {rd }}$ graders met or exceeded standards in ELA

## NWEA MAP Achievement

Distribution of MAP Percentiles


## Achievement Gap

Elementary and Middle Schools
The gap in average RIT score for the fall NWEA MAP assessment.

## Achievement Gap

## Fall MAP Achievement Gap - Elementary Reading



## Achievement Gap

Fall MAP Achievement Gap - Elementary
Math


## Achievement Gap

## Fall MAP Achievement Gap - Middle School Reading



## Achievement Gap

Fall MAP Achievement Gap - Middle School Math


## On Track for College and Career Readiness

Elementary and Middle Schools
The percent of students at or above the District 205 Trajectory score for the fall NWEA MAP assessment. Students are tracked as a cohort at each level.

## On Track for College and Career Readiness

## On Track for College and Career Readiness <br> Reading Benchmarks



## On Track for College and Career Readiness

On Track Cohorts


- Individual Student Scores —D205 Trajectory


## On Track for College and Career Readiness

## On Track Cohorts - Elementary Reading

| 70 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |
| $40$ |  |  |  |
| 30 | Grad | Grade | Grade |
|  | 3rd Grade | 4th Grade | 5th Grade |
| -5th in 16-17 | 49.5 | 50.4 | 51.9 |
| -5th in 15-16 | 46.1 | 46.4 | 49.1 |
| -5th in 14-15 | 47.9 | 48.9 | 51.5 |

## On Track for College and Career Readiness

## On Track Cohorts - Elementary Math

| 70 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\sim_{\text {C- }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |
|  | 3rd Grade | 4th Grade | 5th Grade |
| -5th in 16-17 | 57.7 | 53.7 | 53.5 |
| -5th in 15-16 | 58.3 | 60.2 | 54.2 |
| -5th in 14-15 | 57.5 | 62.3 | 69.2 |

## On Track for College and Career Readiness

On Track Cohorts - Middle School Reading

| 70 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |
|  | 6th Grade | 7th Grade | 8th Grade |
| -8th in 16-17 | 52.9 | 52.2 | 62.3 |
| -8th in 15-16 | 50.0 | 52.7 | 62.7 |
| -8th in 14-15 | 48.2 | 52.0 | 62.4 |

## On Track for College and Career Readiness

## On Track Cohorts - Middle School Math



## ELL Progress

Measures the percent of our former ELL students that meet our college and career readiness trajectory.

Former students are divided into two groups:
ELL-Transition: one or two years since exit
ELL-Former: more than two years since exit

## ELL Achievement

Reading


## ELL Achievement

## Math



## NWEA MAP Average Growth

## Elementary and Middle Schools

The average growth shown by students at each level from fall to winter as measured by the NWEA MAP assessment.

## NWEA MAP Average Growth

Average MAP Growth - Elementary


## NWEA MAP Average Growth

## Average MAP Growth - Middle Schools



## Potential Changes to Our KPls

Additional DPIs will come online as data becomes available; may adjust others as well based on new research and data

- SAT/PSAT related measures
- Third Grade: Reading at Grade Level
- ELL Progress
- On Track for College and Career Readiness


## District/School Report Cards

The state is required to post school and district report cards by October 30 each year.

We are required to present our report cards to the Board and post them online within 30 days of receiving them.

# Implications for Instruction - Fountas \& Pinnell in Grades K-5 

Now that we have the data...

- Literacy Block
- Using the data to group for guided reading
- Acceleration
- Using the data to tailor instruction


## During the Literacy Block K-5

- Determine individual student reading levels
- Independent Level-Books chosen to read during workshop
- Instructional Level-Books chosen to instruct during guided reading
- Frustration Level-Books that are not yet appropriate to read
- Guided Reading
- Literacy Continuum

■ Foundation for Setting School and/or District Goals

- Link to State and National Standards
- Helps Administrators and Teachers Achieve a Common Vision
- Links Assessment to Instruction
"The best way to describe The Literacy Continuum is as a road map. It tells you what behaviors and understandings to look for during student observation. Your observations tell you where their literacy skills sit on the "map," which will lead you to the correct route to take for the next step in instruction."


## Systems of Strategic <br> Actions

## Example of Literacy Continuum

- See board document handout


## Acceleration in Grades K-5

- An extension of the core reading curriculum, not a stand alone program
- Use PLC time to analyze data
- Group students according to need
- Tie instruction during acceleration taught during the literacy block



## Supporting Students in Grades 6-8

- Acceleration provides an opportunity for daily intervention in reading and math provided by content specialists
- Students who are below more than two grade levels in reading have a 40-minute reading strategies class in lieu of world language
- Students performing below grade level may also be placed in classes taught by both a content specialist and a reading specialist, EL teacher, or special education teacher


## Supporting Students in Grades 9-12

- Academic Literacy provides a reading support class
- Students may also be placed in co-taught classrooms
- The focus is on pushing students up through exposure and experience with grade level standards with support.


## ADDITIONAL 2017 PARCC RESULTS

## Performance Levels by Year



Performance Level
$\square$ Exceeded $\square$ Met
$\square$ Approached $\square$ PartiallyMet $\square$ DidNotMeet

## Performance Levels by Grade and Subject -

 Math

Performance Level
$\square$ Exceeded Met
$\square$ Approached PartiallyMet
$\square$ DidNotMeet

## Performance Levels by Grade and Subject -

 ELA

Performance Level
Exceeded $\square$ Met
$\square$ Approached
$\square$ PartiallyMet
$\square$ DidNotMeet

## Performance Levels by Subgroup



Performance Level $\square$ Exceeded
$\square$ Met
$\square$ Approached $\square$ PartiallyMet $\square$ DidNotMeet

## Performance Levels by Race/Ethnicity



